arXiv:1305.0721v1 [math.FA] 3 May 2013

ISOCAPACITY ESTIMATES FOR HESSIAN OPERATORS

JIE XIAO AND NING ZHANG

Abstract. Through a new powerful potential-theoretic analysis, this paper is devoted to discovering the geometrically equivalent isocapacity forms of Chou-Wang's Sobolev type inequality and Tian-Wang's Moser-Trudinger type inequality for the fully nonlinear $1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$ Hessian operators.

Contents

1. Hessian Sobolev through isocapacitary inequalities 1
1.1. Sobolev type inequalities for Hessian operators 1
1.2. Statement of Theorems 1.2-1.3 3
2. Four alternatives to $cap_k(\cdot,\Omega)$ 6
3. Isocapacitary inequalities 9
3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i) 9
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii) 11
4. Capacitary weak and strong type estimates for $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$ 12
5. Analytic vs geometric trace inequalities 15
5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i) 15
5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii) 19
References 22

1. Hessian Sobolev through isocapacitary inequalities

1.1. **Sobolev type inequalities for Hessian operators.** Unless a special remark is made, from now on, Ω is a bounded smooth domain in the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \ge 2$. Let u be a C^2 real-valued function on Ω. For each integer $k \in [1,n]$, the k-Hessian operator F_k is defined as

$$F_k[u] = S_k(\lambda(D^2u)) = \sum_{1\le i_1<\cdots<i_k\le n} \lambda_{i_1}\cdots\lambda_{i_k},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J60, 35J70, 35J96, 31C15, 31C45, 53A40.

Key words and phrases. Hessian operators; Isocapacitary inequalities; Hessian capacities.

Project supported by NSERC of Canada as well as by URP of Memorial University, Canada.

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is the vector of the eigenvalues of the real symmetric Hessian matrix $[D^2 u]$. In particular, one has:

$$F_k[u] = \begin{cases} \Delta u = \text{the Laplace operator} \quad \text{as} \quad k = 1; \\ \text{a fully nonlinear operator} \quad \text{as} \quad 1 < k < n; \\ \det(D^2 u) = \text{the Monge-Ampére operator} \quad \text{as} \quad k = n. \end{cases}$$

Here and henceforth, the following facts should be kept in mind: for $1 < k < n$ each $F_k[u]$ is degenerate elliptic for any k-convex or k-admissible function u, denoted by $u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$, namely, any $C^2(\Omega)$ function u enjoying

$$F_j[u] \geq 0 \ \text{ on } \ \Omega \ \ \forall \ \ j = 1, 2, ..., k.$$

Moreover, if $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$ stands for the class of all functions $u \in \Phi^k(\Omega)$ with zero value on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω, then $\Phi_0^k(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$ amounts to that $\partial\Omega$ is $(k-1)$-convex, i.e., the j-th mean curvature

$$H_j(\partial\Omega, x) = \frac{\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_j \leq n-1} \kappa_{i_1}(x) \cdots \kappa_{i_j}(x)}{\begin{pmatrix} n-1 \\ j \end{pmatrix}} \quad \forall \quad j = 1, ..., k-1$$

of the boundary $\partial\Omega$ at x is nonnegative, where $\kappa_1(x), ..., \kappa_{n-1}(x)$ are the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega$ at the point x; see for example [3, 14, 11, 20, 18, 23, 12].

As a natural generalization of the well-known case $k = 1$, the following Sobolev type inequalities indicate that Φ_0^k can embed into some integrable function spaces; see Wang [22], Chou [7, 8], and Tian-Wang [18] for details.

Theorem 1.1. *Let*

$$\begin{cases} 1 \leq k \leq n; \\ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega); \\ \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} = \Big(\int_\Omega (-u) F_k[u] \Big)^{1/(k+1)}. \end{cases}$$

(i) *If $1 \leq k < \frac{n}{2}$ and $1 \leq q \leq k^* = \frac{n(k+1)}{n-2k}$, then there is a positive constant $c(n, k, q, |\Omega|)$ depending only on n, k, q, and the volume $|\Omega|$ of Ω such that the Sobolev type inequality*

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c(n, k, q, |\Omega|) \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}$$

holds, where for $q = k^*$ the best constant in the last estimate is obtained via letting $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by the function

$$u(x) = \big(1 + |x|^2\big)^{\frac{2k-n}{2k}}.$$

Moreover, for $k = \frac{n}{2}$ and $0 < q < \infty$, there is a positive constant $c(n, q, diam(\Omega))$ depending only on n, q and the diameter $diam(\Omega)$ of Ω such that the Sobolev type inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq c(n, q, \mathrm{diam}(\Omega)) \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}$$

holds.

(ii) *If* $k = \frac{n}{2}$, *then there is a positive constant* $c(n, k, diam(\Omega))$ *depending only on* n, k *and* $diam(\Omega)$ *such that the Moser-Trudinger type inequality*

$$\sup_{0<\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}<\infty} \int_\Omega \exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^\beta\right) \le c(n, k, diam(\Omega))$$

holds, where

$$\begin{cases} 0 < \alpha \le \alpha_0 = n\left(\frac{\omega_n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}}; \\ 1 \le \beta \le \beta_0 = 1 + \frac{2}{n}; \\ \omega_n = \textit{the surface area of the unit sphere in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}. \end{cases}$$

(iii) *If* $\frac{n}{2} < k \le n$, *then there is a positive constant* $c(n, k, \mathrm{diam}(\Omega))$ *depending only on* n, k *and* $diam(\Omega)$ *such that the Morrey-Sobolev type inequality*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le c(n, k, \mathrm{diam}(\Omega))\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}$$

holds.

1.2. **Statement of Theorems 1.2-1.3.** Since the Morrey-Sobolev type inequality in Theorem 1.1 (iii) is relatively independent (cf. [17]), a natural question comes up: *what is the geometrically equivalent form of Theorem 1.1 (i)-(ii)?* To answer this question, we need the so-called k-Hessian capacity that was introduced by Trudinger-Wang [21] in a way similar to the capacity defined by Bedford-Taylor in [2] for the purisubharmonic functions. To be more precise, if K is a compact subset of Ω, then the $[1, n] \ni k$ Hessian capacity of K with respect to Ω is determined by

$$cap_k(K, \Omega) = \sup\left\{\int_K F_k[u] : \ u \in \Phi^k(\Omega), \ -1 < u < 0\right\};$$

and hence for an open set $O \subset \Omega$ we define

$$cap_k(O, \Omega) = \sup\Big\{cap_k(K, \Omega) : \text{ compact } K \subset O\Big\};$$

whence giving the definition of $cap_k(E, \Omega)$ for an arbitrary set $E \subset \Omega$:

$$cap_k(E, \Omega) = \inf\Big\{cap_k(O, \Omega) : \text{ open } O \text{ with } E \subset O \subset \Omega\Big\}.$$

According to Labutin's computation in [14, (4.16)-(4.17)], we see that if $B_\rho \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is used to represent an open ball centered at the origin with radius $\rho > 0$ and if $0 < r < R < \infty$, then there is a constant $c(n, k) > 0$ depending only on n, k such that

$$cap_k(B_r, B_R) = \begin{cases} c(n,k)\Big(r^{2-\frac{n}{k}} - R^{2-\frac{n}{k}}\Big)^{-k} \text{ for } 1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}; \\ c(n,k)\Big(\log\frac{R}{r}\Big)^{\frac{n}{2}} \text{ for } 1 \le k = \frac{n}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $cap_k(\cdot, \Omega)$ has the following metric properties (cf. [14, Lemma 4.1]):

- if $E=\emptyset$ then $cap_k(E,\Omega)=0$;
- if $E_1\subset E_2\subset\Omega$ then $cap_k(E_1,\Omega)\le cap_k(E_2,\Omega)$;
- if $E\subset\Omega_1\subset\Omega_2$ then $cap_k(E,\Omega_1)\ge cap_k(E,\Omega_2)$;
- if $E_1,E_2,\cdots\subset\Omega$ then $cap_k(\cup_j E_j,\Omega)\le\sum_j cap_k(E_j,\Omega)$;
- if $K_1\supset K_2\supset\cdots$ is a sequence of compact subsets of $\Omega=B_R$ then $cap_k(\cap_j K_j,\Omega)=\lim_{j\to\infty}cap_k(K_j,\Omega)$.

As a geometric form of Theorem 1.1 (i)-(ii), we have the following isocapacitary inequalities for the k-Hessian operators – see also Mazýa [16, (8.8)-(8.9)] for the case $k=1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $E\subset\Omega$ and $1\le k\le\frac{n}{2}$.*

(i) *If $1\le k<\frac{n}{2}$ and $1\le q\le\frac{n(k+1)}{n-2k}$, then there exists a constant $c(n,k,q,|\Omega|)>0$ depending only on n,k,q, and $|\Omega|$ such that*

$$|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}}\le c(n,k,q,|\Omega|)cap_k(E,\Omega).$$

In particular, when $q=\frac{n(k+1)}{n-2k}$, there exists a constant $c(n,k)>0$ depending only on n,k such that

$$|E|^{\frac{n-2k}{n}}\le c(n,k)cap_k(E,\Omega).$$

Moreover, for $k=\frac{n}{2}$ and $1<q<\infty$, there is a positive constant $c(n,q,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))$ depending only on n,q, and $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$ such that

$$|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}}\le c(n,k,q,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))cap_k(E,\Omega).$$

(ii) *If $k=\frac{n}{2}$, then there is a constant $c(n)>0$ depending only on n such that*

$$\frac{|E|}{|\Omega|}\le c(n)\exp\left(-\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(E,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\right)$$

holds for a constant $c(n)$ only depending on n, where

$$\begin{cases}0<\alpha\le\alpha_0=n\left(\frac{\omega_n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right)^{\frac{2}{n}};\\ 1\le\beta\le\beta_0=1+\frac{2}{n};\\ \omega_n=\textit{the surface area of the unit sphere in }\mathbb{R}^{n+1}.\end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2 (i)-(ii) will be verified in §3 by using Theorem 1.1 (i)-(ii) and a new characterization of $cap_k(\cdot,\Omega)$ given in §2. This process indicates Theorem 1.1 (i)-(ii) ⇒ Theorem 1.2 (i)-(ii). Nevertheless, Theorem 1.3(i)-(ii) below with μ being the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure shows Theorem 1.2 (i)-(ii) ⇒ Theorem 1.1 (i)-(ii) under Ω being an origin-centered ball and $k+1\le q\le\frac{n(k+1)}{n-2k}$.

Theorem 1.3. *Given an origin-centered Euclidean ball $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, $1\le k\le\frac{n}{2}$, and a nonnegative Randon measure μ on Ω, let*

$$\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)=\inf\big\{cap_k(K,\Omega):\ \textit{compact } K\subset\Omega \textit{ with } \mu(K)\ge t\big\}\quad\forall\quad t>0.$$

be the k-Hessian capacitary minimizing function with respect to μ.

(i) *If $1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$, then*

$$\sup\left\{\frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega,\mu)}}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}:\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega),\quad 0<\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}<\infty\right\}<\infty$$

holds when and only when

$$\begin{cases}\sup_{t>0}\frac{t^{\frac{k+1}{q}}}{\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)}<\infty \quad \textit{for} \quad k+1\le q<\infty;\\ \int_0^\infty\left(\frac{t^{\frac{k+1}{q}}}{\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)}\right)^{\frac{q}{k+1-q}}\frac{dt}{t}<\infty \quad \textit{for} \quad 1<q<k+1.\end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $k=\frac{n}{2}$, then*

$$\sup\left\{\|u\|_{L^1_\varphi(\Omega,\mu)}:\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega),\quad 0<\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}<\infty\right\}<\infty$$

holds when and only when

$$\sup_{t>0} t\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\right)<\infty,$$

where

$$\begin{cases}\|u\|_{L^1_\varphi(\Omega,\mu)}=\int_\Omega\varphi(u)\,d\mu;\\ \varphi(u)=\exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^\beta\right);\\ 0<\alpha<\alpha_0=n\left(\frac{\omega_n}{k}\left(\begin{array}{c}n-1\\k-1\end{array}\right)\right)^{\frac{2}{n}};\\ 1\le\beta\le\beta_0=1+\frac{2}{n};\\ \omega_n=\textit{the surface area of the unit sphere in }\mathbb{R}^{n+1}.\end{cases}$$

Often referred to as trace estimates (due to the fact that μ lives on Ω and may be the surface measure on a smooth submanifold of Ω), the results in Theorem 1.3 will be proved in §5 through the k-Hessian capacitary weak and strong type estimates for $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}$ presented in §4. Here, it is worth pointing out that the case $k=1$ of Theorem 1.3 can be read off from the case $p=2$ of Mazýa's [16, Theorem 8.5 & Remark 8.7] (related to the Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality [5, Lemma VI.3.1]), and the case $q=k+1$ of Theorem 1.3 leads to a kind of Cheeger's inequality - for $k=1$ see also [6], [5, Theorem VI.1.2], and [24].

Remark 1.4. Two more comments are in order:

(i) Upon adapting the relatively natural capacity of a compact $K\subset\Omega$ for k-Hessian operator below (cf. §2)

$$cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega)=\inf\left\{\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}:\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega),\ u|_K\le -1,\ u\le 0\right\},$$

we can see that Theorem 1.3 without assuming that Ω is an origin-centerd Euclidean ball, still hold with $cap_k(\cdot,\Omega)$ being replaced by $cap_{k,3}(\cdot,\Omega)$.

(ii) While going along with demonstrating Theorems 1.2-1.3, we will introduce the required notation. But here, we only write $c(a,b,c,d)$ for different constants (in different lines) depending only on a, b, c, d - for instance - $X \le c(a,b,c,d)Y \le c(a,b,c,d)Z$ means that there exist two positive constants $c(a,b,c,d)$ and $\tilde{c}(a,b,c,d)$ depending only on a, b, c, d such that $X \le c(a,b,c,d)Y \le \tilde{c}(a,b,c,d)Z$.

2. Four alternatives to $cap_k(\cdot,\Omega)$

The purpose of this section is to define four new types of the k-Hessian capacity with $1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$ and then to establish their relations with $cap_k(\cdot,\Omega)$.

Definition 2.1. Suppose

$$\begin{cases} 1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}; \\ 1_E \text{ stands for the characteristic function of } E \subset \Omega. \end{cases}$$

First, for a compact $K \subset \Omega$ let

$$\begin{cases} cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega) = \sup\Big\{ \int_K F_k[u]:\ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}),\ -1<u<0\Big\}; \\ cap_{k,2}(K,\Omega) = \inf\Big\{ \int_\Omega F_k[u]:\ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}),\ u \le -1_K\Big\}; \\ cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega) = \inf\Big\{ -\int_\Omega uF_k[u]:\ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}),\ u \le -1_K\Big\}; \\ cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega) = \sup\Big\{ -\int_K uF_k[u]:\ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}), -1<u<0\Big\}. \end{cases}$$

Second, for an open set $O \subset \Omega$ and $j = 1,2,3,4$ set

$$cap_{k,j}(O,\Omega) = \sup\Big\{cap_{k,j}(K,\Omega):\ \textit{compact } K \subset O\Big\}.$$

Third, for a general set $E \subset \Omega$ and $j = 1,2,3,4$ put

$$cap_{k,j}(E,\Omega) = \inf\Big\{cap_{k,j}(K,\Omega):\ \textit{open } O \text{ with } E \subset O \subset \Omega\Big\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$. Let Ω be the Euclidean ball B_r of radius r centered at the origin. If K is a compact subset of Ω, then*

$$cap_{k,j}(K,\Omega) = \begin{cases} \int_K F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \quad as \quad j=1; \\ \int_K (-R_k(K,\Omega))F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \quad as \quad j=4, \end{cases}$$

where

$$R_k(K,\Omega)(x) = \limsup_{y\to x}\Big(\sup\big\{u(y) : u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega),\ u \le -1_K\big\}\Big)$$

is the regularised relative extremal function associated with $K \subset \Omega$.

Proof. As showed in [14], the function $x \mapsto R_k(K,\Omega)(x)$ is upper semicontinuous, is of $C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, and is the viscosity solution of the following Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} F_k[u] = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \backslash K; \\ u = -1 & \text{on } \partial K; \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$cap_k(K,\Omega) = \int_K F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)].$$

Note that $R_k(K,\Omega)$ is in $\Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \subset \Phi^k(\Omega)$. So, from Definition 2.1 it follows that

$$cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega) = \int_K F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)].$$

To see the desired formula for $j = 4$, let $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$. Then, for any ϵ there exists a function $v \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $v = (1+\epsilon)u$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1+\epsilon)^{k+1} cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega) \\ &= (1+\epsilon)^{k+1} \sup\Big\{ \int_K (-u) F_k[u] : \ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}), \ -1 < u < 0 \Big\} \\ &= \sup\Big\{ \int_K (-v) F_k[v] : \ v \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}), \ -1-\epsilon < v < 0 \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_k(K,\Omega) > -1-\epsilon$ in K, we have

$$(1+\epsilon)^{-(k+1)} \int_K (-R_k(K,\Omega)) F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \le cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega).$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain

$$\int_K (-R_k(K,\Omega)) F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \le cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega).$$

To reach the reversed one of the last inequality, let $\{K_i\}$ be a decreasing open set with smooth boundary in Ω and provide

$$K_{i+1} \subset K_i \Subset \Omega \quad \& \quad \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i = K.$$

Then, using the regularity of ∂K_i we define

$$u_i = R_k(K_i,\Omega) \in C(\bar{\Omega}).$$

According to [19, Lemma 2.1], we have the following monotonicity: if

$$\begin{cases} u, v \in \Phi^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}); \\ u \ge v \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega; \\ u = v \quad \text{on} \quad \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\int_\Omega F_k[u] \le \int_\Omega F_k[v],$$

whence getting

$$\int_K F_k[u] \le \int_{\{u_i<u\}} F_k[u] \le \int_\Omega F_k[u] \le \int_\Omega F_k[u_i].$$

Letting $i \to \infty$ in the last inequality yields that

$$\int_K (-u) F_k[u] \le \int_K (-R_k(K,\Omega)) F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)]$$

holds for any $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ with $-1 < u < 0$. As a consequence, we get

$$\int_K (-R_k(K,\Omega)) F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \ge cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega),$$

thereby completing the argument. □

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose* $1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$. *Let* Ω *be the Euclidean ball* B_r *of radius* r *centered at the origin. If* $E \subset \Omega$, *then*

$$cap_k(E,\Omega) = cap_{k,j}(E,\Omega) \quad \forall \quad j = 1,2,3,4.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.1 it is enough to prove that if $E = K$ is a compact subset of Ω then

$$cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,2}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega).$$

To do so, note first that the inequalities

$$\begin{cases} cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega); \\ cap_{k,2}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega), \end{cases}$$

just follow from Definition 2.1. Next, an application of Lemma 2.2 yields

$$cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega) = cap_k(K,\Omega) = \int_K F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] = \int_\Omega F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)].$$

Thus, from the definition of $R_k(K,\Omega)$ and the monotonicity described in the proof of Lemma 2.2 it follows that for any $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $u|_K \le -1$ and $u < 0$ one has

$$\int_\Omega F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \le \int_\Omega F_k[u].$$

Upon minimizing the right-hand side of the last inequality we obtain

$$cap_{k,1}(K,\Omega) = \int_\Omega F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \le cap_{k,2}(K,\Omega).$$

Finally, by the definitions of $R_k(K,\Omega)$ and $cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega)$, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned} & cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega) \\ & \le \int_\Omega (-R_k(K,\Omega)) F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)] \\ & = \int_K (-R_k(K,\Omega)) F_k[R_k(K,\Omega)]. \end{aligned}$$

thereby finding

$$cap_{k,3}(K,\Omega) \le cap_{k,4}(K,\Omega).$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *Let* Ω *be the Euclidean ball* B_r *of radius* r *centered at the origin. If* $E \subset \Omega$*, then*

$$cap_1(E,\Omega) = \inf\Big\{ \int_\Omega |Du|^2 : \ u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), \ u \ge 1_E \Big\} \equiv 2\text{-}cap(E,\Omega),$$

where $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ *stands for the Sobolev space of all functions whose distributional derivatives are in* $L^2(\Omega)$*.*

Proof. Thanks to the well-known metric properties of the Wiener capacity $2\text{-}cap(\cdot,\Omega)$ (cf. [15, Chapter 2]), we only need to check that

$$cap_1(E,\Omega) = 2\text{-}cap(E,\Omega) \quad \forall \ \text{ compact } \quad E \subset \Omega.$$

Since $F_1[u] = \Delta u$, for any $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar\Omega)$ with $u \le -1_E$ we apply an integration-by-part to obtain

$$\int_\Omega (-u) F_1[u] = \int_\Omega (-u)\Delta u = \int_\Omega |Du|^2 = \int_\Omega |D(-u)|^2.$$

Upon considering the unique solution of the Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} F_1[u] = \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega\backslash E; \\ -u = 1 & \text{on } \partial E; \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$cap_{1,3}(E,\Omega) = \int_\Omega (-R)F_k[R] = \int_\Omega |D(-R)|^2 = 2\text{-}cap(E,\Omega),$$

whence reaching the conclusion via Theorem 2.3. □

3. Isocapacitary inequalities

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i).** *Step* $(i)_1$. We start with proving that if $E \subset B_r$ and $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$, then there is a constant $c(n,k,q,|\Omega|) > 0$ depending only on n,k,q, and $|\Omega|$ such that

$$|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}} \le c(n,k,q,|\Omega|)\big(cap_k(E,B_r)\big).$$

Without losing generality, we may assume that E is a compact set in B_r. Now, by Theorem 1.1 (i), we have that if $1 \le q \le k^*$ then

$$\|u\|_{L^q(B_r)} \le c(n,k,q,r)\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(B_r)} \quad \forall \quad u \in \Phi_0^k(B_r),$$

where $c(n,k,q,r) > 0$ is a constant depending only on n,k,q,r.

Since $R_k(E,B_r) \in \Phi_0^k(B_r)$, from the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{\Phi_0^k(B_r)}$ it follows that

$$\|R_k(E,B_r)\|_{L^q(B_r)} \le c(n,k,q,r)\left(\int_{B_r} \big(-R_k(E,B_r)\big)F_k[R_k(E,B_r)]\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$

In other words, Theorem 2.3 is employed to derive

$$\|R_k(E,B_r)\|_{L^q(B_r)} \le c(n,k,q,r)\Big(cap_k(E,B_r)\Big)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$

Thus, by the definition of $R_k(E,B_r)$, we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}&|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}}\\ &\le \Big(\int_E |R_k(E,B_r)|^q\Big)^{\frac{k+1}{q}}\\ &\le \Big(\int_{B_r} |R_k(E,B_r)|^q\Big)^{\frac{k+1}{q}}\\ &\le \|R_k(E,B_r)\|^{k+1}_{L^q(B_r)}\\ &\le \big(c(n,k,q,r)\big)^{k+1} cap_k(E,B_r).\end{aligned}$$

Step $(i)_2$. Next, we verify that if $E \subset \Omega$ and $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$ then there is a constant $c(n,k,q,|\Omega|) > 0$ depending only on $n,k,q,$ and $|\Omega|$ such that

$$|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}} \le c(n,k,q,|\Omega|)\big(cap_k(E,\Omega)\big)^n.$$

Without losing generality, we may assume that E is a compact subset of Ω and Ω contains the origin. Then there exists a ball B_r centered at the origin with radius diam(Ω) such that $\Omega \subset B_r$.

Since $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$, by *Step* $(i)_1$ and [14, Lemma 4.1(ii)], we obtain

$$|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}} \le c(n,k,q,r) cap_k(E,B_r) \le c(n,k,q,|\Omega|) cap_k(E,\Omega),$$

as desired.

Step $(i)_3$. Particularly, for $q = \frac{n(k+1)}{n-2k}$ we make the following analysis. Suppose E is a compact set contained in B_r - a ball centered at the origin with radius $r > 0$. We claim that if $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$ then there is a constant $c(n,k) > 0$ depending only on n,k such that

$$|E|^{\frac{n-2k}{n}} \le c(n,k) cap_k(E,\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In fact, according to Dai-Bao's paper [10], there exists a unique viscosity solution to the Dirichlet problem stated in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Such a solution guarantees that there exists a unique $R_k(E,\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying

$$R_k(E,\mathbb{R}^n) = \lim_{r\to\infty} R_k(E,B_r).$$

Now, by the previous *Step* $(i)_1$, we have that if $q = k^*$ then

$$|E|^{\frac{n-2k}{n}} \le c(n,k,r) cap_k(E,B_r),$$

whence reaching the above claim through letting $r \to \infty$ in the last estimate.

Now, using the same argument for *Step* $(i)_2$, we get

$$|E|^{\frac{n-2k}{n}} \le c(n,k) cap_k(E,\mathbb{R}^n) \le c(n,k) cap_k(E,\Omega).$$

Step $(i)_4$. Following the above argument plus applying [14, Lemma 4.1(ii)], Theorem 1.1 (ii) and Theorem 2.3 we can readily find that

$$|E|^{\frac{k+1}{q}} \le c(n,k,q,\mathrm{diam}(\Omega))cap_k(E,\Omega)$$

holds for $k=\frac{n}{2}$ and $1\le q<\infty$.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.2 (ii).** *Step* $(ii)_1$. Partially motivated by [1, 9, 25], we begin with a slight improvement of the Moser-Trudinger inequality stated in Theorem 1.1 (ii): if $k=\frac{n}{2}$ then there is a constant $c(n)>0$ depending only on n such that

$$\sup_{0<\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}<\infty}\int_\Omega \exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^\beta\right)\le c(n)\big(\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\big)^n,$$

where α,β are the constants determined in Theorem 1.1 (ii).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that Ω contains the origin. Then there exists a ball B_r centered at the origin with radius $\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)$ such that $\Omega\subset B_r$. Following the argument for [18, Theorem 1.2], we have that for any radial function $u=u(s)$ in $\Phi_0^k(B_r)$ there exists a ball $B_{\hat r}\subset\mathbb{R}^{\frac{n}{2}+1}$ with radius $\hat r=r^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}$ and a radial function $v(s)=u(s^{\frac{n+2}{2n}})$ in $\Phi_0^k(B_{\hat r})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(B_r)}}\Big)^\beta\right)\\
&\le\Big(\frac{n+2}{2n}\Big)\Big(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\omega_{\frac{n}{2}}}\Big)\int_{B_{\hat r}}\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{c_0^\beta}\Big(\frac{|v|}{\|Dv\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}+1}(B_{\hat r})}}\Big)\right)\\
&\le c(n)|B_{\hat r}|\\
&\le c(n)\hat r^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\\
&\le c(n)r^n,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_0^\beta=\left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{k\omega_{n/2}}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\Big(\frac{2n}{n+2}\Big)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$

Thus, by [18, Lemma 3.2], we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup\left\{\int_\Omega \exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^\beta\right):\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\ \&\ 0<\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}<\infty\right\}\\
&\le\sup\left\{\int_\Omega \exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^\beta\right):\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\text{ is radial}\right\}\\
&\le c(n)\big(\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\big)^n,
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Step $(ii)_2$. We utilize the last step to check the remaining part of Theorem 1.2 (ii). Since $k = \frac{n}{2}$, by Lemmas 2.2, 3.2 and Theorem 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|E| \exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(E, B_r)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\Big)\\
&|E| \exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_{k,3}(E, B_r)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\Big)\\
&\le \sup\Big\{\int_E \exp\Big(\alpha\big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(B_r)}}\big)^{\beta}\Big) :\ u \in \Phi_0^k(B_r)\Big\}\\
&\le c(n)\big(\mathrm{diam}(B_r)\big)^n,
\end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(E, \Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}} \le \frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(E, B_r)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}} \le \ln\Big(c(n)|E|^{-1}\big(\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\big)^n\Big).$$

Now, a simple calculation gives the desired inequality.

4. Capacitary weak and strong type estimates for $\Phi_0^k(\Omega)$

In a way different from proving the capacitary weak and strong type estimates for the Wienner capacity 2-*cap*$(\cdot, \Omega)$, we establish the following k-Hessian capacitary weak and strong type inequalities.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that* Ω *is an origin-centered Euclidean ball. If* $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ *and* $1 \le k \le \frac{n}{2}$, *then one has:*

(i) *the capacitary weak type inequality*

$$cap_k\big(\{x \in \Omega :\ |u(x)| \ge t\}\big) \le t^{-(k+1)}\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} \quad \forall \quad t > 0;$$

(ii) *the capacitary strong type inequality*

$$\int_0^\infty t^k cap_k\big(\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \ge t\}, \Omega\big)\, dt \le c(n,k)\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)},$$

where $c(n,k) > 0$ *is a constant depending only on* n, k.

Proof. (i) For $t > 0$ let $v = t^{-1}u$. By Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&cap_k\big(\{x \in \Omega : |v(x)| \geq 1\}\big)\\
&= \sup\Big\{ \int_{\{|v|\geq 1\}} (-f)F_k[f] : \quad f \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}),\ -1 < f < 0\Big\}\\
&= \int_{\{|v|\geq 1\}} (-R(\{|v| \geq 1\}, \Omega))F_k[R(\{|v| \geq 1\}, \Omega)]\\
&\leq \int_\Omega (-R(\{|v| \geq 1\}, \Omega))F_k[R(\{|v| \geq 1\}, \Omega)]\\
&\leq \int_\Omega (-v)F_k[R(\{|v| \geq 1\}, \Omega)]\\
&\leq \int_\Omega (-v)F_k[v],
\end{aligned}$$

thereby getting

$$cap_k\big(\{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq t\}\big) \leq t^{-(k+1)} \int_\Omega (-u)F_k[u].$$

(ii) For $t > 0$ let $M_t = \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| \geq t\}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} < \infty$, and then define a normed set function (cf. [4])

$$\phi(E) \equiv \phi(E, \Omega) = \frac{\int_E (-u)F_k[u]}{\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \quad \forall \quad E \subset \Omega.$$

Note that

$$E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow \phi(E_1 \cup E_2) = \phi(E_1) + \phi(E_2).$$

Applying [13, Theorem 2.2-Corollary 2.3], we can find a non-negative measure ψ defined on Ω and a positive constant c_n depending only on n such that

$$\begin{cases} \phi(E) \leq \psi(E) \quad \forall \quad E \subset \Omega;\\ \psi(\Omega) \leq c_n. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, for a given constant $a > 1$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^\infty \phi(M_t\backslash M_{at})\,\frac{dt}{t}\\
&\le \int_0^\infty \psi(M_t\backslash M_{at})\,\frac{dt}{t}\\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_t^{at} d\psi(M_s)\,\frac{dt}{t}\\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_s^{\frac{s}{a}} \frac{dt}{t}\,d\psi(M_s)\\
&= -(\ln a)\int_0^\infty d\psi(M_s)\\
&= \psi(M_0)\ln a\\
&\le \psi(\Omega)\ln a\\
&\le c_n \ln a,
\end{aligned}$$

whence deriving

$$\int_0^\infty \left\|u1_{M_t\backslash M_{at}}\right\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}\frac{dt}{t} \le c_n(\ln a)\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}.$$

Now, if

$$\tilde{u} = \max\Big\{\frac{t-u}{(a-1)t}, -1\Big\},$$

then

$$\begin{cases}\tilde{u}\in\Phi_0^k(M_t);\\ \tilde{u}1_{M_{at}} \le -1,\end{cases}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\tilde{u}\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(M_t)}\\
&= \int_{M_t}(-\tilde{u})F_k[\tilde{u}]\\
&= k^{-1}\int_{M_t}\tilde{u}_i\tilde{u}_jF_k^{ij}[D^2\tilde{u}]\\
&= k^{-1}\int_{M_t\backslash M_{at}}\left(\frac{u}{(a-1)t}\right)_i\left(\frac{u}{(a-1)t}\right)_j F_k^{ij}\left[D^2\frac{u}{(a-1)t}\right]\\
&\le \int_{M_t\backslash M_{at}}\left(-\frac{u}{(a-1)t}\right)F_k\left[\frac{u}{(a-1)t}\right]\\
&= (a-1)^{-k-1}t^{-k-1}\int_{M_t\backslash M_{at}}(-u)F_k[u],
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}F_k^{ij}[A] = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}}F_k[A];\\ D^2f = A = \{a_{ij}\}.\end{cases}$$

Using the definition of $cap_{k,3}(\cdot,\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty t^{k+1} cap_{k,3}(M_{at},M_t)\,\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le \int_0^\infty t^{k+1}\|\tilde{u}\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(M_t)}\,\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le \int_0^\infty (a-1)^{-(k+1)}\Big(\int_{M_t\setminus M_{at}}(-u)F_k[u]\Big)\,\frac{dt}{t}\\ &\le c_n(\ln a)(a-1)^{-(k+1)}\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\lambda = at$, then a combination of $M_t\subset\Omega$, Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 4.1 (ii) derives

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \lambda^k cap_k\big(\{x\in\Omega:|u|>\lambda\},\Omega\big)\,d\lambda\\ &\le \int_0^\infty (at)^k cap_{k,3}(M_{at},M_t)\,d(at)\\ &\le c_n a^{k+1}(\ln a)(a-1)^{-(k+1)}\|u\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

5. Analytic vs geometric trace inequalities

5.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.3 (i).** In what follows, we always let

$$\begin{cases}1\le k\le \frac{n}{2};\\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar{\Omega});\\ M_t=\{x\in\Omega:\ |u(x)|\ge t\}\quad\forall\quad t>0.\end{cases}$$

Step (i)$_1$. For $k+1\le q<\infty$ let

$$C_1\equiv\sup_{t>0}\frac{t^{\frac{k+1}{q}}}{\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)}<\infty.$$

Then

$$\mu(K)^{\frac{1}{q}}\le C_1^{\frac{1}{k+1}}\big(cap_k(K,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{1}{k+1}}\quad\forall\quad\text{compact } K\subset\Omega.$$

An application of Theorem 4.1 (ii) yields that for any $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega |u|^q \, d\mu \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mu(M_\lambda) \, d\lambda^q \\
&\le C_1^{\frac{q}{k+1}} \int_0^\infty \Big(cap_k(M_\lambda, \Omega) \Big)^{\frac{q}{k+1}} d\lambda^q \\
&\le q(k+1)^{-1} C_1^{\frac{q}{k+1}} \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{q-k-1} \int_0^\infty cap_k(M_\lambda, \Omega) \, d\lambda^{k+1} \\
&\le q(k+1)^{-1} C_1^{\frac{q}{k+1}} c(n,k) \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^q .
\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$C_2 \equiv \sup \left\{ \frac{\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega,\mu)}}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} : \ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ with } 0 < \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} < \infty \right\} < \infty.$$

Conversely, assume $C_2 < \infty$. An application of the Hölder inequality with $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&t\mu(M_t) \\
&\le \int_\Omega |u| \, d\mu(M_t) \\
&\le \|u\|_{L_q(\Omega,\mu)} \big(\mu(M_t)\big)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\
&\le C_2 \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} \big(\mu(M_t)\big)^{\frac{1}{q'}},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\sup_{t>0} t\big(\mu(M_t)\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C_2 \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}.$$

Now, taking

$$\begin{cases} t = 1; \\ u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}); \\ |u| \ge 1_K \text{ for any compact } K \subset \Omega, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\big(\mu(K)\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C_2 \|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} \le C_2 \big(cap_k(K,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{1}{k+1}},$$

whence reaching $C_1 \le C_2^{k+1}$.

Step $(i)_2$. For $1 < q < k+1$ let

$$\begin{cases} I_{k,q}(\mu) \equiv \int_0^\infty \Big(t^{\frac{k+1}{q}} \big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)\big)^{-1} \Big)^{\frac{q}{k+1-q}} t^{-1} \, dt; \\ S_{k,q}(\mu,u) \equiv \sum_{j=-\infty}^\infty \frac{\big(\mu(M_{2^j}(u)) - \mu(M_{2^{j+1}}(u))\big)^{\frac{k+1}{k+1-q}}}{\big(cap_k(M_{2^j}(u))\big)^{\frac{q}{k+1-q}}}. \end{cases}$$

If $I_{k,q}(\mu) < \infty$, then the elementary inequality

$$a^c + b^c \le (a+b)^c \quad \forall \quad a, b \ge 0 \ \&\ c \ge 1$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& S_{k,q}(\mu, u) \\
&= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \big(\mu(M_{2^j}(u)) - \mu(M_{2^{j+1}}(u))\big)^{\frac{k+1}{k+1-q}} \big(cap_k(M_{2^j}(u), \Omega)\big)^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}} \\
&\le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \big(\mu(M_{2^j}(u)) - \mu(M_{2^{j+1}}(u))\big)^{\frac{k+1}{k+1-q}} \big(\tau(\mu, \Omega, \mu(M_{2^j}))\big)^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}} \\
&\le \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu(M_{2^j}(u))^{\frac{k+1}{k+1-q}} - \mu(M_{2^{j+1}}(u))^{\frac{k+1}{k+1-q}} \big(\tau(\mu, \Omega, \mu(M_{2^j}))\big)^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}} \\
&\le c(n,k,q) \int_0^\infty (\tau(\mu, \Omega, s))^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}} \, ds^{\frac{k+1}{k+1-q}} \\
&\le c(n,k,q) I_{k,q}(\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the Hölder inequality and Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|u\|^q_{L^q(\Omega,\mu)} \\
&= \int_\Omega |u|^q d\mu \\
&= \int_0^\infty t^q d\mu(M_t(u)) \\
&\le \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \big(\mu(M_{2^j}(u)) - \mu(M_{2^{j+1}}(u))\big) 2^{jq} \\
&\le (S_{k,q}(\mu, u))^{\frac{k+1-q}{k+1}} \left(\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{j(k+1)} cap_k(M_{2^{j(k+1)}}(u)) \right)^{\frac{q}{k+1}} \\
&\le (S_{k,q}(\mu, u))^{\frac{k+1-q}{k+1}} \left(\int_0^\infty cap_k(M_\lambda(u), \Omega) \, d\lambda^{k+1} \right)^{\frac{q}{k+1}} \\
&\le c(n,k,q) (S_{k,q}(\mu, u))^{\frac{k+1-q}{k+1}} \|u\|^q_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)} \\
&\le c(n,k,q) (I_{k,q}(\mu))^{\frac{k+1-q}{k+1}} \|u\|^q_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

whence getting

$$C_2^q \le c(n,k,q) \big(I_{k,q}(\mu)\big)^{\frac{k+1-q}{k+1}}.$$

Conversely, suppose $C_2 < \infty$. Then

$$\sup_{t>0} t \big(\mu(M_t)\big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le \|u\|_{L^q(\Omega,\mu)} \le C_2 \|u\|_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)}$$

holds for any $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$. According to the definition of $\tau(\mu, \Omega, t)$, for each integer j there exist a compact set $K_j \subset \Omega$ and a function $u_j \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} cap_k(K_j, \Omega) \le 2\tau(\mu, \Omega, 2^j); \\ \mu(K_j) > 2^j; \\ u_j \le -1_{K_j}; \\ 2^{-1}\|u_j\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} \le cap_k(K_j, \Omega). \end{cases}$$

Now, for integers i, m with $i < m$ let

$$\begin{cases} u_{i,m} = \sup_{i \le j \le m} \gamma_j f_j; \\ \gamma_j = \left(\frac{2^j}{\kappa(\mu, 2^j)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k+1-q}}. \end{cases}$$

Then $u_{i,m}$ is a function in $\Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ – this follows from an induction and the easily-checked fact below

$$\max\{u_1, u_2\} = \frac{u_1 + u_2 + |u_1 - u_2|}{2} \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Consequently,

$$\|u_{i,m}\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} \le c(n,k) \sum_{j=i}^{m} \gamma_j^{k+1} \|u_j\|^{k+1}_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)} \le c(n,k) \sum_{j=i}^{m} \gamma_j^{k+1} \tau(\mu, \Omega, 2^j).$$

Observe that for $i \le j \le m$, one has

$$u_{i,m}(x) \le \gamma_j \quad \forall \quad x \in K_j.$$

Therefore,

$$2^j < \mu(K_j) \le \mu\left(M_{\gamma_j}(u_{i,m})\right).$$

This in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u_{i,m}\|^q_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)}\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}c(n,k,q)\int_\Omega |u_{j,m}|^q\,d\mu\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}\int_0^\infty \Big(\inf\{t:\ \mu(M_t(u_{i,m}))\le s\}\Big)^q\,ds\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}\sum_{j=i}^m \Big(\inf\{t:\ \mu(M_t(u_{i,m}))\le 2^j\}\Big)^q 2^j\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}\sum_{j=i}^m \gamma_j^q 2^j\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}c(n,k,q)\left(\frac{\sum_{j=i}^m \gamma_j^q 2^j}{\Big(\sum_{j=i}^m \big(\gamma_j\big)^{k+1}\tau(\mu,\Omega,2^j)\Big)^{\frac{q}{k+1}}}\right)\|u_{i,m}\|^q_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)}\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}c(n,k,q)\left(\frac{\sum_{j=i}^m 2^{\frac{j(k+1)}{k+1-q}}\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,2^j)\big)^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}}}{\Big(\sum_{j=i}^m 2^{\frac{j(k+1)}{k+1-q}}\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,2^j)\big)^{\frac{-q}{k+1-q}}\Big)^{\frac{q}{k+1}}}\right)\|u_{i,m}\|^q_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)}\\
&\ge C_2^{-q}c(n,k,q)\left(\sum_{j=i}^m 2^{\frac{j(k+1)}{k+1-q}}\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,2^j)\big)^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}}\right)^{\frac{k+1-q}{k+1}}\|u_{i,m}\|^q_{\Phi^k_0(\Omega)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$I_{k,q}(\mu)\le \lim_{i\to-\infty m\to\infty}\sum_{j=i}^m 2^{\frac{(j+1)(k+1)}{k+1-q}}\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,2^j)\big)^{-\frac{q}{k+1-q}}<\infty.$$

5.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.3 (ii).** In the sequel, for

$$\begin{cases} k=\frac{n}{2};\\ u\in\Phi^k_0(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega);\\ M_t(u)=\{x\in\Omega:\ |u(x)|\ge t\}\ \ \forall\ \ t>0.\end{cases}$$

For convenience, rewrite the previous quantity C_1 as

$$C_1(n,k,q,\mu,\Omega)\equiv\sup_{t>0}\frac{t^{\frac{k+1}{q}}}{\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)}.$$

If

$$C_3(n,k,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\Omega)\equiv\sup_{t>0}t\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\right)<\infty,$$

then for $\tilde{q} \geq k+1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& C_1(n,k,\tilde{q},\mu,\Omega) \\
& = \sup_{t>0} \frac{t^{\frac{k+1}{\tilde{q}}}}{\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)} \\
& = \sup_{t>0} \left(\Big(\frac{\tilde{q} t^{\frac{\beta}{\tilde{q}}}}{\alpha\beta} \Big) \Big(\frac{\alpha \frac{\beta}{\tilde{q}}}{\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}} \Big) \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\alpha\beta} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \sup_{t>0} \left(t^{\frac{\beta}{\tilde{q}}} \exp\Big(\frac{\alpha \frac{\beta}{\tilde{q}}}{\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}} \Big) \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \\
& = \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\alpha\beta} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \sup_{t>0} \left(t \exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\big(\tau(\mu,\Omega,t)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}} \Big) \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\tilde{q}}} \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\alpha\beta} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \big(C_3(n,k,\mu,\Omega) \big)^{\frac{k+1}{\tilde{q}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, applying the Hölder inequality for $\tilde{q} \geq k+1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\Omega \exp\Big(\alpha \big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \big)^\beta \Big) \, d\mu \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_\Omega \frac{\alpha^i}{i!} \big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \big)^{\beta i} \, d\mu \\
& = \sum_{i<\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \int_\Omega \frac{\alpha^i}{i!} \big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \big)^{\beta i} \, d\mu + \sum_{i\geq\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \int_\Omega \frac{\alpha^i}{i!} \big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \big)^{\beta i} \, d\mu \\
& \leq S_1 + S_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
S_1 \equiv \sum_{i<\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!} \big(\mu(\Omega)\big)^{1-\frac{\beta i}{\tilde{q}}} \Big(\int_\Omega \big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \big)^{\tilde{q}} \, d\mu \Big)^{\frac{\beta i}{\tilde{q}}}; \\
S_2 \equiv \sum_{i\geq\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!} \int_\Omega \big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}} \big)^{\beta_0 i} \, d\mu.
\end{cases}$$

Next, we control S_1 and S_2 from above. As in the last subsection, we have that for any $u \in \Phi_0^k(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ and integer $m \geq k+1$,

$$\int_\Omega |u|^m \, d\mu \leq \big(C_1(n,k,m,\mu,\Omega) \big)^{\frac{m}{k+1}} c(n,k) \|u\|^m_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}.$$

This, along with the previously-verified inequality

$$C_1(n,k,\tilde{q},\mu,\Omega) \leq \left(\frac{\tilde{q}}{\alpha\beta} \right)^{\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \big(C_3(n,k,\mu,\Omega) \big)^{\frac{k+1}{\tilde{q}}} \quad \forall \quad \tilde{q} \geq k+1,$$

gives

$$S_1 \le \sum_{i<\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!}\big(\mu(\Omega)\big)^{1-\frac{\beta i}{\tilde{q}}}\Big(\big(C_1(n,k,\tilde{q},\mu,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\tilde{q}}{k+1}}c(n,k)\Big)^{\frac{\beta i}{\tilde{q}}} < \infty.$$

Meanwhile, Theorem 4.1 is utilized to derive

$$\begin{aligned}
&S_2\\
&= \sum_{i\ge\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!}\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{-\beta i}\int_\Omega |u|^{\beta i}\,d\mu\\
&= \sum_{i\ge\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!}\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{-\beta i}\int_0^\infty \mu(M_t)\,dt^{\beta i}\\
&= \sum_{i\ge\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!}\int_0^\infty \frac{\big(cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta i}{k+1}}}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{\beta i}}\left(\frac{\mu(M_t)}{\big(cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta i}{k+1}}}\right)dt^{\beta i}\\
&\le \sum_{i\ge\frac{k+1}{\beta}} \frac{\alpha^i}{i!}\int_0^\infty \frac{cap_k(M_t,\Omega)}{t^{\beta i-k-1}}\left(\frac{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{\beta i-k-1}}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{\beta i}}\right)\left(\frac{\mu(M_t)}{\big(cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta i}{k+1}}}\right)dt^{\beta i}\\
&\le \frac{\alpha\beta}{k+1}\int_0^\infty \sum_{i=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha^i}{i!}\left(\frac{\mu(M_t)}{\big(cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta i}{k+1}}}\right)cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{-(k+1)}\,dt^{k+1}\\
&\le \frac{\alpha\beta}{k+1}\int_0^\infty \left(\mu(M_t)\exp\Big(\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\Big)\right)\left(\frac{cap_k(M_t,\Omega)}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{k+1}}\right)dt^{k+1}\\
&\le \alpha\beta(k+1)^{-1}C_3(n,k,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\Omega)\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}^{-(k+1)}\int_0^\infty \big(cap_k(M_t,\Omega)\big)\,dt^{k+1}\\
&\le \alpha\beta(k+1)^{-1}c(n,k)C_3(n,k,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\Omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, putting the estimates for S_1 and S_2 together, we obtain

$$C_4 \equiv \sup\Big\{\|u\|_{L^1_\varphi(\Omega,\mu)}:\ u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega)\text{ with }\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}>0\Big\}<\infty.$$

Conversely, if $C_4<\infty$, then for any $u\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}>0$ one always has

$$\int_\Omega \exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^\beta\right)d\mu \le C_4.$$

Note that for any compact set $K\subset\Omega$ there exists a function $R(K,\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} R(K,\Omega)\in\Phi_0^k(\Omega)\cap C^2(\bar\Omega);\\ |R(K,\Omega)|\ge 1_K.\end{cases}$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu(K)\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(K,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\right)\\
&\le\int_K\exp\left(\frac{\alpha}{\big(cap_k(K,\Omega)\big)^{\frac{\beta}{k+1}}}\right)d\mu\\
&\le\int_\Omega\exp\left(\alpha\Big(\frac{|R(K,\Omega)|}{\|R(K,\Omega)\|_{\Phi_0^k(\Omega)}}\Big)^{\beta}\right)d\mu\\
&\le C_4,
\end{aligned}$$

whence achieving $C_3(n,k,\alpha,\beta,\mu,\Omega)\le C_4$.

References

[1] D. R. Adams, *A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives.* Ann. Math. 128(1988)385398.

[2] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new caoacity for plurisubharmonic functions.* Acta Math. 149(1982)1-40.

[3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations III. Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian.* Acta Math. 155(1985)261-301.

[4] J. Cerda, J. Martin, and P. Silvestre, *Conductor Sobolev type estimates and isocapacitary inequalities.* http://garf.ub.es/ConductorCMS110516.pdf, 27-2-2013.

[5] I. Chavel, *Isoperimetric Inequalities.* Cambridge Tracts Math. 145, Cambrdige Univ. Press, 2001.

[6] J. Cheeger, *A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian.* In: Gunning, R. (ed.), Problems in Analysis, pp.195-199. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.

[7] K. S. Chou, *On symmetrization and Hessian equations.* J. Anal. Math. 52(1989)94-116.

[8] K. S. Chou, *Remarks on the critical exponents for the Hessian operators.* Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non linéaire 7(1990)113-122.

[9] W. S. Cohn and G.-Z. Lu, *Sharp constants for Moser-Trudinger inequalities on spheres in complex space* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. LVII(2004)1458-1493.

[10] L. Dai and J. Bao, *On uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions to Hessian equations in exterior domains.* Front. Math. China 6(2011)221-230.

[11] F. Ferrari, *Some relations between fractional Laplace operators and Hessian operators.* http://mathematicalanalysis.unibo.it/article/view/2668/2064.

[12] N. Gavitone,*Isoperimetric estimates for eigenfunctions of Hessian operators.* Ricerche Mat. 58(2009)163-183.

[13] N. J. Kalton and S. J. Montgomery-Smith, *Set-functions and factorization.* Arch. Math. 61(1993)183-200.

[14] D. A. Labutin, *Potential estimates for a class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations.* Duke Math. J. 111(2002)1-49.

[15] V. Mazýa, *Sobolev Spaces.* Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[16] V. Mazýa, *Lectures on isoperimetric and isocapacitary inequalities in the theory of Sobolev spaces.* Contemp. Math. 338(2003)307-340.

[17] G. Talenti, *Inequalities in rearrangement invariant function spaces*. In: M. Krbec, A. Kufner, B. Opic, J. Rákosnik (eds.) Nonlinear Analysis, Function Spaces and Applications, vol. 5, pp. 177-230. Prometheus, Prague, 1994.

[18] G.-J. Tian and X.-J. Wang, *Moser-Trudinger type inequalities for the Hessian equation*. J. Funct. Anal. 259(2010)1974-2002.

[19] N. S. Trudinger and X.-J. Wang, *Hessian measures, I*. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 10(1997)225-239.

[20] N. S. Trudinger and X.-J. Wang, *Hessian measures, II*. Ann. of Math. 150(1999)579-604.

[21] N. S. Trudinger and X.-J. Wang, *Hessian measures, III*. J. Funct. Anal. 193(2002)1-23.

[22] X.-J. Wang, *A class of fully nonlinear elliptic equations and related functionals*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 43(1994)25-54.

[23] X.-J. Wang, *The k-Hessian equation*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1977, Springer, 2009, pp. 177-252.

[24] J. Xiao, *The p-Faber-Krahn inequality noted*. A. Laptev (ed.), Around the Research of Vladimir Mazýa 1: Functon Spaces, International Math Ser. 11, DOI 10.1007/978 $-$ 1 $-$ 4419 $-$ 1341 $-$ $8_1$7, Springer Sci. + Business Media, LLC2010, 373-390.

[25] J. Xiao and Zh. Zhai, *Fractional Sobolev, Moser-Trudinger, Morrey-Sobolev inequalities under Lorentz norms*. J. Math. Sci. (New York) 45(2010)119-136.

Jie Xiao, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada
E-mail address: `jxiao@mun.ca`

Ning Zhang, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada
E-mail address: `nz7701@mun.ca`